

Computing zeta functions of groups, algebras, and modules

Tobias Rossmann



July 2016

Conditions for

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 \\ & x_5 & x_6 & x_7 \\ & & x_8 & x_9 \\ & & & x_{10} \end{bmatrix} :$$

$$V_p(\mathbf{U}_4(\mathbf{Z}_p) \curvearrowright \mathbf{Z}_p^4) = \left\{ \mathbf{x} : x_{10} \mid x_6, x_{10} \mid x_8, x_5 \mid x_1, x_8 \mid x_5, x_{10} \mid x_3, x_8 \mid x_2, \right. \\ \left. x_5x_8 \mid x_1x_6, x_8x_{10} \mid x_2x_9, x_8x_{10} \mid x_5x_9, \right. \\ \left. x_5x_8x_{10} \mid x_1x_7x_8 - x_1x_6x_9 \right\}$$

Recall: $\zeta_p(s) = \frac{1}{1-p^{-s}}$

Proposition

$$\zeta_{U_4(\mathbf{z}_p) \curvearrowright \mathbf{z}_p^4}(s) = F_4(p, p^{-s}) \times \zeta_p(s) \zeta_p(2s-1) \zeta_p(3s-1) \zeta_p(4s-1) \zeta_p(4s-2) \\ \times \zeta_p(5s-2) \zeta_p(6s-2) \zeta_p(7s-3) \zeta_p(8s-4), \text{ where}$$

$$F_4(X, Y) = -X^{10}Y^{30} + X^9Y^{26} + X^9Y^{25} + X^9Y^{24} - X^9Y^{23} + 2X^8Y^{23} \\ - X^8Y^{22} + 2X^7Y^{22} - 2X^7Y^{21} - 2X^7Y^{20} + X^6Y^{21} - 2X^7Y^{19} \\ + X^6Y^{20} - X^6Y^{18} - X^6Y^{17} - X^5Y^{18} - X^5Y^{17} + 2X^6Y^{15} \\ - X^5Y^{16} + X^5Y^{14} - 2X^4Y^{15} + X^5Y^{13} + X^5Y^{12} + X^4Y^{13} \\ + X^4Y^{12} - X^4Y^{10} + 2X^3Y^{11} - X^4Y^9 + 2X^3Y^{10} + 2X^3Y^9 \\ - 2X^3Y^8 + X^2Y^8 - 2X^2Y^7 + XY^7 - XY^6 - XY^5 - XY^4 + 1$$

$$V_p(\mathbf{U}_5(\mathbf{Z}_p) \curvearrowright \mathbf{Z}_p^5) = \left\{ \mathbf{x} : \begin{aligned} &x_{10}x_{13}x_{15} \mid x_2x_{12}x_{13} - x_2x_{11}x_{14}, \\ &x_{10}x_{13}x_{15} \mid x_6x_{12}x_{13} - x_6x_{11}x_{14}, \\ &x_6x_{10}x_{13} \mid x_1x_8x_{10} - x_1x_7x_{11}, \\ &x_6x_{10}x_{13}x_{15} \mid x_1x_9x_{10}x_{13} - x_1x_7x_{12}x_{13} \\ &\quad - x_1x_8x_{10}x_{14} + x_1x_7x_{11}x_{14}, \\ &\quad +16 \text{ monomial conditions} \end{aligned} \right\}$$

Theorem (R. 16)

Let $p \gg 0$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_{U_5(\mathbf{Z}_p)} \curvearrowright \mathbf{Z}_p^5(s) &= F_{U_5}(p, p^{-s}) \times \zeta_p(13-6)\zeta_p(12s-6)\zeta_p(11s-4) \\ &\quad \times \zeta_p(10s-4)\zeta_p(10s-3)\zeta_p(9s-4)\zeta_p(9s-3) \\ &\quad \times \zeta_p(8s-4)\zeta_p(8s-3)\zeta_p(8s-2)\zeta_p(7s-3) \\ &\quad \times \zeta_p(7s-2)\zeta_p(6s-2)\zeta_p(5s-2)\zeta_p(5s-1) \\ &\quad \times \zeta_p(4s-2)\zeta_p(4s-1)\zeta_p(2s-1)\zeta_p(s),\end{aligned}$$

where $F_{U_5} = 1 + \dots (792 \text{ terms}) \dots + X^{43}Y^{124}$.

Similar calculations: subalgebras of $\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)$, $\mathbf{Z}_p[X]/X^4$

\mathfrak{sl}_2 and \mathfrak{gl}_2

Theorem (Ilani '99; du Sautoy '00; White '00; du Sautoy & Taylor '00)

Let $p \neq 2$. Then $\zeta_{\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)}^{\leq}(s) = W(p, p^{-s})$, where

$$W(X, Y) = \frac{1 - XY^3}{(1 - X^2Y^2)(1 - XY^2)(1 - XY)(1 - Y)}.$$

Theorem

Let $p \gg 0$. Then $\zeta_{\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)}^{\leq}(s) = W(p, p^{-s})$, where

$$\begin{aligned} W(X, Y) = & (-X^8Y^{10} - X^8Y^9 - X^7Y^9 - 2X^7Y^8 + X^7Y^7 - X^6Y^8 - X^6Y^7 \\ & + 2X^6Y^6 - 2X^5Y^7 + 2X^5Y^5 - 3X^4Y^6 + 3X^4Y^4 - 2X^3Y^5 \\ & + 2X^3Y^3 - 2X^2Y^4 + X^2Y^3 + X^2Y^2 - XY^3 + 2XY^2 + XY \\ & + Y + 1) / ((1 - X^7Y^6)(1 - X^3Y^3)(1 - X^2Y^2)^2(1 - Y)). \end{aligned}$$

Strategy

Goal (“uniformity problem”)

Let $\Omega \subset M_n(\mathbf{Z})$.

If possible, find $W(X, Y)$ s.t. $\zeta_{\Omega \cap \mathbf{Z}_p^n}(s) = W(p, p^{-s})$ for $p \gg 0$.

- Previous computations (ad hoc, partially manual):
Taylor '01, Woodward '05, ...
- Here: fully automated but restricted by genericity assumptions
- Software: Zeta (R. '14–present)
<http://www.math.uni-bielefeld.de/~rossmann/Zeta>

Strategy

Goal (“uniformity problem”)

Let $\Omega \subset M_n(\mathbf{Z})$.

If possible, find $W(X, Y)$ s.t. $\zeta_{\Omega \curvearrowright \mathbf{z}_p^n}(s) = W(p, p^{-s})$ for $p \gg 0$.

- Compute a “Denef formula”

$$\zeta_{\Omega \curvearrowright \mathbf{z}_p^n}(s) = \sum_i \#\bar{V}_i(\mathbf{F}_p) \cdot W_i(p, p^{-s}).$$

- ▶ Theory (du Sautoy, Grunewald '00): resolution of singularities
 - ▶ Here: combine “toric methods” and computational algebra
- Symbolically compute $\#\bar{V}_i(\mathbf{F}_p)$ as a polynomial in p .
- Compute each W_i as a sum of rational functions.
 \leadsto Algorithms of Barvinok et al.
- Final summation.

First task

Compute a Denef formula for

$$\zeta_{\Omega \curvearrowright \mathbf{Z}_p^n}(s) = (1 - p^{-1})^{-n} \int_{V_p} |x_{11}|_p^{s-1} \cdots |x_{nn}|_p^{s-n} d\mu(\mathbf{x}),$$

where $V_p = \left\{ \mathbf{x} = [x_{ij}] \in \text{Tr}_n(\mathbf{Z}_p) \mid x_{11} \cdots x_{nn} \mid f_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, f_r(\mathbf{x}) \right\}$.

- dSG'00: “explicit” (but impractical) computation in terms of a resolution of singularities of $x_{11} \cdots x_{nn} \cdot f_1(\mathbf{x}) \cdots f_r(\mathbf{x}) = 0$.
- Here: combine “toric resolutions” for sufficiently generic f_i (Khovanskii et al., '70s) and techniques inspired by Gröbner bases.
- Inspired by “toric formulae” for Igusa’s local zeta function (Denef et al. '92–01; Veys, Zúñiga-Galindo '08).

Definition

The **Newton polytope** $\text{New}(f)$ of $f = \sum a_e X^e$: convex hull of $\{e : a_e \neq 0\}$.

Fact

Faces $\tau \subset \text{New}(f_1 \cdots f_r)$ define canonical sub-polynomials $f_{i,\tau}$ of the f_i .

Write $f = (f_1, \dots, f_r)$. For $J \subset \{1, \dots, r\}$, write $f_{J,\tau} = (f_{j,\tau})_{j \in J}$.

Definition

f is **non-degenerate** (w.r.t. $\text{New}(f_1 \cdots f_r)$) if

$$f_{J,\tau}(x) = 0 \implies \text{rk}(f'_{J,\tau}(x)) = \#J$$

for all faces $\tau \subset \text{New}(f_1 \cdots f_r)$, subsets $J \subset \{1, \dots, r\}$ and $x \in (\mathbf{C}^\times)^d$.

Theorem (R. '15)

Suppose f is non-degenerate. Then there are explicit $W_{\tau,J} \in \mathbf{Q}(X, Y)$ indexed by faces $\tau \subset \text{New}(f_1 \cdots f_r)$ and subsets $J \subset \{1, \dots, r\}$ s.t.

$$\zeta_{\Omega \curvearrowright \mathbf{Z}_p^n}(s) = \sum_{\tau, J} c_{\tau, J}(p) W_{\tau, J}(p, p^{-s})$$


for almost all p , where $c_{\tau, J}(p) = \#\left\{u \in (\mathbf{F}_p^\times)^d \mid f_{j, \tau}(u) = 0 \iff j \in J\right\}$.

Heuristic observations

- Typical forms of degeneracy can often be fixed using a “toric reduction” process inspired by Gröbner bases machinery.
- $c_{\tau, J}(p)$ can often be computed by combining three steps:
 - ▶ split off torus factors
 - ▶ solve for variables
 - ▶ reduction (again!)


- $\zeta_{\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)}(s) = (1 - p^{-1})^{-3} \int_{V_p} |a|^{s-1} |x|^{s-2} |z|^{s-3} d\mu(a, \dots, z)$, where

$$V_p = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ & x & y \\ & & z \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{Tr}_3(\mathbf{Z}_p) : xz \mid ax^2 + 4bxy - 4cy^2, cy, cz \right\}.$$

- Newton polytope = , 7 faces.
- One easily confirms non-degeneracy.
- Zeta computes $\zeta_{\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)}(s)$ as a sum of 414 rational functions.


- $\zeta_{\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)}(s) = (1 - p^{-1})^{-3} \int_{V_p} |a|^{s-1} |x|^{s-2} |z|^{s-3} d\mu(a, \dots, z)$, where

$$V_p = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ & x & y \\ & & z \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{Tr}_3(\mathbf{Z}_p) : xz \mid \underline{ax^2} + 4bxy - 4cy^2, cy, cz \right\}.$$

- Newton polytope = , 7 faces.
- One easily confirms non-degeneracy.
- Zeta computes $\zeta_{\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)}(s)$ as a sum of 414 rational functions.


- $\zeta_{\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)}(s) = (1 - p^{-1})^{-3} \int_{V_p} |a|^{s-1} |x|^{s-2} |z|^{s-3} d\mu(a, \dots, z)$, where

$$V_p = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ & x & y \\ & & z \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{Tr}_3(\mathbf{Z}_p) : xz \mid ax^2 + \underline{4bxy} - 4cy^2, cy, cz \right\}.$$

- Newton polytope = , 7 faces.
- One easily confirms non-degeneracy.
- Zeta computes $\zeta_{\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)}(s)$ as a sum of 414 rational functions.


- $\zeta_{\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)}(s) = (1 - p^{-1})^{-3} \int_{V_p} |a|^{s-1} |x|^{s-2} |z|^{s-3} d\mu(a, \dots, z)$, where

$$V_p = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ & x & y \\ & & z \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{Tr}_3(\mathbf{Z}_p) : xz \mid ax^2 + 4bxy - \underline{4cy^2}, cy, cz \right\}.$$

- Newton polytope = , 7 faces.
- One easily confirms non-degeneracy.
- Zeta computes $\zeta_{\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)}(s)$ as a sum of 414 rational functions.


- $\zeta_{\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)}(s) = (1 - p^{-1})^{-3} \int_{V_p} |a|^{s-1} |x|^{s-2} |z|^{s-3} d\mu(a, \dots, z)$, where

$$V_p = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ & x & y \\ & & z \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{Tr}_3(\mathbf{Z}_p) : xz \mid \underline{ax^2 + 4bxy} - 4cy^2, cy, cz \right\}.$$

- Newton polytope = , 7 faces.
- One easily confirms non-degeneracy.
- Zeta computes $\zeta_{\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)}(s)$ as a sum of 414 rational functions.


- $\zeta_{\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)}(s) = (1 - p^{-1})^{-3} \int_{V_p} |a|^{s-1} |x|^{s-2} |z|^{s-3} d\mu(a, \dots, z)$, where

$$V_p = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ & x & y \\ & & z \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{Tr}_3(\mathbf{Z}_p) : xz \mid ax^2 + \underline{4bxy - 4cy^2}, cy, cz \right\}.$$

- Newton polytope = , 7 faces.
- One easily confirms non-degeneracy.
- Zeta computes $\zeta_{\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)}(s)$ as a sum of 414 rational functions.


- $\zeta_{\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)}(s) = (1 - p^{-1})^{-3} \int_{V_p} |a|^{s-1} |x|^{s-2} |z|^{s-3} d\mu(a, \dots, z)$, where

$$V_p = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ & x & y \\ & & z \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{Tr}_3(\mathbf{Z}_p) : xz \mid \underline{ax^2} + 4bxy - \underline{4cy^2}, cy, cz \right\}.$$

- Newton polytope = , 7 faces.
- One easily confirms non-degeneracy.
- Zeta computes $\zeta_{\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)}(s)$ as a sum of 414 rational functions.

- $\zeta_{\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)}(s) = (1 - p^{-1})^{-3} \int_{V_p} |a|^{s-1} |x|^{s-2} |z|^{s-3} d\mu(a, \dots, z)$, where

$$V_p = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ & x & y \\ & & z \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{Tr}_3(\mathbf{Z}_p) : xz \mid \underline{ax^2 + 4bxy - 4cy^2}, cy, cz \right\}.$$

- Newton polytope = , 7 faces.
- One easily confirms non-degeneracy.
- Zeta computes $\zeta_{\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)}(s)$ as a sum of 414 rational functions.

Behaviour at zero

“Semi-simplification conjecture”

Let $\mathcal{A} \subset M_n(\mathbf{Q})$ be a unital associative subalgebra. Then, for $p \gg 0$,

$$\frac{\zeta_{\mathcal{A} \curvearrowright \mathbf{Z}_p^n}(s)}{\zeta_{\mathcal{A}/\text{rad}(\mathcal{A}) \curvearrowright \mathbf{Z}_p^n}(s)} \Big|_{s=0} = 1.$$

- Solomon '77: explicit formula for $\zeta_{\mathcal{A}/\text{rad}(\mathcal{A}) \curvearrowright \mathbf{Z}_p^n}(s)$
- Confirmed for numerous specific examples and $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{Q}[A]$ (R. '16).

“Definition”

$$\zeta_{\Omega \curvearrowright \mathbf{Z}^n, \text{top}}(s) = \lim_{p \rightarrow 1} \zeta_{\Omega \curvearrowright \mathbf{Z}_p^n}(s).$$

- Arithmetic approach (Denef, Loeser '92):

$$(1 - p^{-1})^n \zeta_{\Omega \curvearrowright \mathbf{Z}_p^n}(s) = \sum_i \#\bar{V}_i(\mathbf{F}_p) \cdot W_i(p, p^{-s})$$

↓

$$\zeta_{\Omega \curvearrowright \mathbf{Z}^n, \text{top}}(s) = \sum_i \chi(V_i(\mathbf{C})) \cdot \lim_{p \rightarrow 1} W_i(p, p^{-s}) \in \mathbf{Q}(s),$$

where powers p^z are expanded binomially via

$$p^z = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{z}{k} (p-1)^k.$$

- Geometric approach: motivic integration (Denef, Loeser '98; du Sautoy, Loeser '04).

- Informally:

“ $\zeta_{\Omega \curvearrowright \mathbf{Z}^n, \text{top}}(s) = \text{constant term of } (1 - p^{-1})^n \zeta_{\Omega \curvearrowright \mathbf{Z}_p^n}(s) \text{ in } p - 1$ ”

Example

$$\zeta_{\mathbf{Z}_p^n}(s) = \zeta_p(s) \zeta_p(s-1) \cdots \zeta_p(s-(n-1))$$

↓

$$\zeta_{\mathbf{Z}^n, \text{top}}(s) = \frac{1}{s(s-1) \cdots (s-(n-1))}$$

Topological zeta functions ...

- preserve analytic properties of their local ancestors
- can be studied and computed more easily

Example:

- ▶ $\chi(V_i(\mathbf{C}))$ via Bernstein-Khovanskii-Kushnirenko '70s
 - ▶ “half-open cones” become “cones”
 - ▶ final summation via polynomial interpolation
- exhibit interesting new features

Behaviour at infinity

“Degree conjecture”

Let $\mathcal{A} \subset M_n(\mathbf{Q})$ be a nilpotent subalgebra. Then

$$\deg(\zeta_{\mathcal{A} \curvearrowright \mathbf{Z}^n, \text{top}}(s)) = -n.$$

Example

Recall: $\zeta_{U_3(\mathbf{Z}) \curvearrowright \mathbf{Z}^3}(s) = \frac{\zeta(s)\zeta(2s-1)\zeta(3s-1)\zeta(4s-2)}{\zeta(4s-1)}$.

Thus,

$$\zeta_{U_3(\mathbf{Z}), \text{top}}(s) = \frac{4s-1}{s(2s-1)(3s-1)(4s-2)}$$

has degree -3 .

Behaviour at infinity

“Degree conjecture”

Let $\mathcal{A} \subset M_n(\mathbf{Q})$ be a nilpotent subalgebra. Then

$$\deg(\zeta_{\mathcal{A} \curvearrowright \mathbf{Z}^n, \text{top}}(s)) = -n.$$

Example

$$\zeta_{U_4(\mathbf{Z}) \curvearrowright \mathbf{Z}^4, \text{top}}(s) = \frac{3360s^5 - 5192s^4 + 3139s^3 - 930s^2 + 136s - 8}{8(7s - 3)(5s - 2)(4s - 1)(3s - 1)^2(2s - 1)^3s}$$

$$\zeta_{U_5(\mathbf{Z}) \curvearrowright \mathbf{Z}^5, \text{top}}(s) = \frac{12108096000s^{14} - 54378038400s^{13} \pm \dots \dots - 40104s + 864}{(13s - 6)(11s - 4)(10s - 3)(9s - 4)(8s - 3)(7s - 2)(7s - 3)(5s - 1)(5s - 2)^2(4s - 1)^2(3s - 1)^2(2s - 1)^4s}$$

The End